

Problem 6.1 – Jaynes-Cummings-Paul model (12 points)

A two-level system that is coupled to just one cavity mode is the subject of the so-called Jaynes-Cummings-Paul model. This system is described by the Hamiltonian in the resonance approximation (notation of the lecture for the ladder operators)

$$H_{\text{JCP}} = \hbar\omega_c a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_A \sigma^\dagger \sigma + \hbar(ga^\dagger \sigma + g^* \sigma^\dagger a) \quad (6.1)$$

(i) Explain in words the action of the interaction Hamiltonian and the meaning of the resonance approximation.

(ii) Show that the JCP Hamiltonian commutes with the “excitation” operator

$$N = a^\dagger a + \sigma^\dagger \sigma \quad (6.2)$$

Argue that the eigenvalues of N are the integers $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and that each nonzero eigenvalue is doubly degenerate. Write down two basis vectors. We call in the following $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ the sub-space spanned by the eigenvectors with eigenvalue $n \neq 0$ to N .

(iii) Show that the Hamiltonian is represented by the following 2×2 matrix in the subspace $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ (explain the choice of the basis vectors):

$$H^{(n)} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} (n-1)\omega_c + \omega_A & g^* \sqrt{n} \\ g\sqrt{n} & n\omega_c \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.3)$$

and that the state $|0, g\rangle$ is a singly degenerate eigenstate of H_{JCP} . Make a sketch of the eigenvalues of H_{JCP} .

(iv) Show that the following *Ansatz* yields eigenstates of $H^{(n)}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi} \cos \theta \\ e^{i\varphi} \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi} \sin \theta \\ -e^{i\varphi} \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.4)$$

fix the angles φ, θ , and write the state $(0, 1)^T$ as a linear combination of these eigenstates.

Problem 6.2 – Two-level polarizability (8 points)

In the lecture, we have found the following expression for the polarizability of a two-level system (here, ω can be interpreted as the laser frequency and \mathbf{d}_{ge} is taken real)

$$\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\mathbf{d}_{ge}\mathbf{d}_{ge}^*}{\hbar} \left(\frac{i}{\gamma/2 - i(\omega - \omega_A)} - \frac{i}{\gamma/2 - i(\omega + \omega_A)} \right) (\rho_{gg} - \rho_{ee}) \quad (6.5)$$

(i) Check the signs by verifying that $\alpha(-\omega) = [\alpha(\omega)]^*$.

(ii) Make a plot of $\text{Im } \alpha(\omega)$ and $\omega \text{Im } \alpha(\omega)$ for a fixed value of the inversion $\rho_{gg} - \rho_{ee} > 0$. What would you get for an inverted system?

(iii) Do the symmetry properties of (i) and (ii) survive if the stationary value for the inversion

$$\rho_{gg} - \rho_{ee} = \frac{\gamma^2/4 + \Delta^2}{|\Omega|^2/2 + \gamma^2/4 + \Delta^2} \quad (6.6)$$

is employed in Eq.(6.5)? (Rabi frequency Ω , detuning $\Delta = \omega - \omega_A$)