

# Einführung in die Quantenoptik II

Sommersemester 2020

Carsten Henkel

## Übungsaufgaben Blatt 4

Ausgabe: 03. Juli 2020

Abgabe: 15. Juli 2020

### Aufgabe 4.1 – Spectrum of Resonance Fluorescence (10 Punkte)

We shall see in the lecture that the field emitted by a two-level atom can be described by the positive-frequency field operator

$$E(\mathbf{r}, t) \sim \frac{e^{-i\omega_L t} d_{ge} \sigma(t)}{r} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1(t) - i\sigma_2(t))$  is the lowering operator of the two-level system. (In the Schrödinger picture,  $\sigma = |g\rangle\langle e|$ .)

The light emitted by the atom is called *fluorescence* (or luminescence) and its spectrum is proportional to the Fourier transform of the atomic correlation function  $C_\sigma(\tau) = \langle \sigma^\dagger(t + \tau)\sigma(t) \rangle$  with respect to  $\tau$ . (We assume that  $t$  is large enough so that the system is in a steady state.) When this correlation is computed with the quantum regression formula, we introduce the *skew* density operator  $\varrho(\tau|\sigma\rho_{\text{st}})$  that evolves according to the master equation and from the initial condition  $\varrho(0|\sigma\rho_{\text{st}}) = \sigma\rho_{\text{st}}$ .

(1) Show that  $\varrho(0)$  has the trace  $(s_1 - is_2)/2$  and is described by the complex Bloch vector  $\mathbf{S}$  with components (why is  $\mathbf{S}$  complex?)

$$S_1 = \frac{1 + s_3}{2}, \quad S_2 = \frac{1 + s_3}{2i}, \quad S_3 = \frac{-s_1 + is_2}{2} \quad (4.2)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, s_3)$  is the steady-state Bloch vector corresponding to  $\rho_{\text{st}}$ .

(2) The time evolution of the Bloch vector is given by the Bloch equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_1}{d\tau} &= -\Gamma S_1 + \Delta S_2 & \frac{dS_2}{d\tau} &= -\Delta S_1 - \Gamma S_2 - \Omega S_3 \\ \frac{dS_3}{d\tau} &= \Omega S_2 - \gamma(S_3 + \text{tr } \varrho) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the detuning,  $\Omega$  the (real) Rabi frequency and  $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma$  the decay rates for coherences and populations. Argue that the stationary solution of these equations is given by  $\varrho_{\text{st}} = (\text{tr } \varrho)\rho_{\text{st}}$ . Check that in the stationary state  $P_{\text{st}}$ , the expectation value of  $\sigma^\dagger = (\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2)/2$  is given by

$$\text{tr}(\sigma^\dagger P_{\text{st}}) = |\text{tr}(\sigma\rho_{\text{st}})|^2 \quad (4.4)$$

What does this stationary value tell you about the behaviour of the correlation function  $C_\sigma(\tau)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ? What does this imply for the fluorescence spectrum?

*Answer:* there is an “elastic” component in the spectrum that is monochromatic and centered at the driving laser frequency  $\omega_L$ . Its power is proportional to Eq.(4.4).

(3) Eqs.(4.3) can be written as  $\dot{\mathbf{S}} = -\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S} + \dots$  with a  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ . Show that the characteristic equation for its eigenvalues  $\lambda$  can be written as

$$(\Gamma - \lambda)^2(\gamma - \lambda) + \Omega^2(\Gamma - \lambda) + \Delta^2(\gamma - \lambda) = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

Solve this equation approximately in the two cases  $|\Omega| \ll \gamma/2 < \Gamma$  and  $|\Omega| \gg \Gamma$ . It turns out that the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  describe the width and the position of spectral lines – what kind of behaviour for the fluorescence spectrum do you expect in the two cases?

#### **Aufgabe 4.2 – Anti-bunching (10 Punkte)**

The correlations of the fluorescence intensity  $I$  is related to the probability of detecting two photons, one at  $t$  and another one at the later time  $t' = t + \tau$ . According to Glauber’s photodetector theory, the joint detection rate is proportional to the correlation function (time and normal order)

$$C_I(\tau) = \langle \sigma^\dagger(t)\sigma^\dagger(t+\tau)\sigma(t+\tau)\sigma(t) \rangle \quad (4.6)$$

where we have used Eq.(4.1) for the emitted field.

(1) Construct with the regression approach a formula that gives this ‘intensity correlation’ in terms of a conditional density operator  $P(\tau|\dots)$  (different from the one used in Problem 4.1). Justify the wording “just after the time  $t$ , the two-level system is for sure in its ground state” and check that the initial state for  $P(\tau|\dots)$  is (nearly) an ordinary density operator.

(2) Show that for  $\tau = 0$ ,  $C_I(0) = 0$  and give an interpretation for this result.

(3) In a classical description, the fluorescence intensity  $I(t)$  is proportional to  $|d(t)|^2$  where  $d$  is a complex dipole amplitude. Show with the Schwarz inequality that in this picture, the correlation function satisfies

$$\langle |d(t)|^4 \rangle \geq \langle |d(t)|^2 |d(t')|^2 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle |d(t)|^4 \rangle \geq \langle |d(t)|^2 \rangle^2 \quad (4.7)$$

where the averages are taken with respect to a probability distribution for  $d(t')$ . We assume that averages are stationary.

*Hint.* For real random variables,  $A, B$ , we have  $0 \leq \langle (A - B)^2 \rangle = \langle A^2 \rangle + \langle B^2 \rangle - 2\langle AB \rangle$  and  $0 \leq \langle (A - \langle A \rangle)^2 \rangle$ .

For this reason, the quantum result  $C_I(0) = 0$  is called “non-classical”: it cannot be reproduced by replacing the quantum dipole operator  $\sigma$  by classical variables with whatever distribution function.